arXiv:1510.01208v1 [math.NT] 5 Oct 2015

Asymptotics for cuspidal representations by functoriality from $GL(2)$

Huixue Lao[1,2], Mark McKee[2] *and* Yangbo Ye[2]
[1] School of Mathematical Sciences, Shandong Normal University
Jinan, Shandong 250014, China
[2] Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242-1419, USA
Email: lhxsdnu@163.com, huixue-lao@uiowa.edu, mark-mckee@uiowa.edu, yangbo-ye@uiowa.edu

Abstract Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ with Fourier coefficients $\lambda_\pi(n)$. Asymptotic expansions of certain sums of $\lambda_\pi(n)$ are proved using known functorial liftings from GL_2, including symmetric powers, isobaric sums, exterior square from GL_4 and base change. These asymptotic expansions are manifestation of the underlying functoriality and reflect value distribution of $\lambda_\pi(n)$ on integers, squares, cubes and fourth powers.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 11F70, 11F66, 11F30.

Keywords: cuspidal representation, functoriality, $GL(2)$, Fourier coefficient, asymptotic expansion

1. Introduction

Let $\pi = \otimes\pi_p$ be a unitary automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ with Fourier coefficients $\lambda_\pi(n)$. For each finite p for which π_p is unramified we can associate to π_p Satake parameters $\alpha_\pi(p), \beta_\pi(p) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lambda_\pi(p) = \alpha_\pi(p) + \beta_\pi(p), \quad \alpha_\pi(p)\beta_\pi(p) = 1.$$

For ramified π_p, one can still choose $\alpha_\pi(p), \beta_\pi(p) \in \mathbb{C}$, by allowing some of them to be zero, such that the finite-part automorphic L-function attached to π is

$$L(s,\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p<\infty} \left(1-\alpha_\pi(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\beta_\pi(p)p^{-s}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.1}$$

for Re $s > 1$.

If π is associated with a holomorphic cusp form for a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the Ramanujan bound was proved by Deligne [4]

$$|\alpha_\pi(p)| = |\beta_\pi(p)| = 1$$

for p with π_p being unramified. For other cases the best known bound toward the Ramanujan conjecture is

$$|\alpha_\pi(p)|, |\beta_\pi(p)| \le p^{\frac{7}{64}}, \tag{1.2}$$

which is due to Kim and Sarnak [12].

Let us review known functoriality from an automorphic cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ (cf. Kim [11]).

1.1. The symmetric square lift. By Gelbart and Jacquet [5] $Sym^2\pi$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. It is cuspidal if and only if π is not monomial. Here π is monomial which means that there is a nontrivial grössencharacter ω so that $\pi \cong \pi \otimes \omega$. This in turn means that π corresponds to a dihedral Galois representation $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

1.2. The symmetric cube. Kim and Shahidi [13] proved that $Sym^3\pi$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_4(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. In addition, $Sym^3\pi$ is cuspidal if and only if π does not correspond to a dihedral or tetrahedral Galois representation. We recall π is tetrahedral means there exists a grössencharacter $\eta \neq 1$ so that $Ad^3\pi \cong Ad^3\pi \otimes \eta$. Here $Ad^3\pi = Sym^3\pi \otimes \omega_\pi^{-1}$, where ω_π is the central character of π.

1.3. The symmetric fourth power. By Kim [10] $Sym^4\pi$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_5(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. It is either cuspidal or unitarily induced from cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ and $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. More precisely, $Sym^4\pi$ is cuspidal unless π corresponds to either a dihedral, tetrahedral, or octahedral Galois representation (Kim and Shahidi [14]). Here π is octahedral means $Ad^3\pi$ is cuspidal, but there exists a nontrivial quadratic character μ so that $Ad^3\pi \cong Ad^3\pi \otimes \mu$.

1.4. The tensor product of $GL_2 \times GL_3$. Let π_2 and π_3 be unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ and $GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$, respectively. Then, by Kim and Shahidi [13], $\pi_2 \boxtimes \pi_3$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_6(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. It is isobaric and is cuspidal or irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations. When π_2 is not dihedral, $\pi_2 \boxtimes \pi_3$ is cuspidal unless π_3 is a twist of $Ad(\pi_2)$ by a grössencharacter (Ramakrishnan and Wang [26]).

1.5. The Rankin-Selberg convolution of $GL_2 \times GL_2$. Let π_1 and π_2 be unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. By Ramakrishnan [25] their isobaric representation $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_4(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. In case of π_1 and π_2 being not associated to characters of a quadratic extension, $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal if and only if π_1 and π_2 are not twisted equivalent by any grössencharacter.

1.6. Exterior square of GL_4. Let π_4 be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_4(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. By Kim [10] and Henniart [7] the exterior square lift $\wedge^2(\pi_4)$ is an automorphic representation of $GL_6(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Moreover $\wedge^2(\pi_4)$ is of the form $Ind\, \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_k$ where each σ_i is a cuspidal representation of $GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$, $n_i > 1$. Its cuspidality was classified by Asgari and Raghuram [2].

1.7. Base change. Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of GL_2 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that there is a Galois number field F of prime degree ℓ over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\pi \ncong \pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is a class character of $\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A}^\times$ attached to F. In other words, $\eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is trivial exactly on $\mathbb{Q}^\times N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(F_\mathbb{A}^\times)$. Note that $\eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is a character of order ℓ. By Langlands [16] and Arthur and Clozel [1], (1.3) implies that

$$\pi, \pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}, \ldots, \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^{\ell-1}$$

lift to an automorphic cuspidal representation Π of GL_2 over F. This base change Π is stable under the action of $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$ and given by

$$L(s,\Pi) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} L\big(s, \pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^j\big). \tag{1.4}$$

The subject goal of this paper is to establish an asymptotic expansion of a certain sum of Fourier coefficient $\lambda_\pi(n)$ of the GL_2 cuspidal representation π based on its functorial lifting in each of the cases 1.1-1.7. These sums and asymptotic expansions can be regarded as a manifestation of the underlying functoriality. They also provide deep insight into the value distribution of $\lambda_\pi(n)$ on positive integers, squares, cubes and fourth powers.

Asymptotics of this kind are important consequences in Rankin [27] and Selberg [28] as they developed their theory. Similar asymptotics were later obtained by Lao and Sankaranarayanan [17] [18], Lau, Lü and Wu [20], and Lau and Lü [19].

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a unitary automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Assume that π is not monomial so that $Sym^2\pi$ is cuspidal. Then the L-function*

$$L_2(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n^2)|^2}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$ and has an analytic continuation to Re $s > \frac{1}{2}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n\le x} |\lambda_\pi(n^2)|^2 = c_2 x + O_\pi\big(x^{\frac{4}{5}}\big). \tag{1.5}$$

where $c_2 = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L_2(s)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let π be a unitary automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Assume that π does not correspond to a dihedral or tetrahedral Galois representation so that $Sym^3\pi$ is cuspidal. Then the L-function*

$$L_3(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n^3)|^2}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$, and has an analytic continuation to Re $s > \frac{21}{32}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n\le x} |\lambda_\pi(n^3)|^2 = c_3 x + O_\pi\big(x^{\frac{15}{17}}\big),$$

where $c_3 = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L_3(s)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let π be a unitary automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Assume that π does not correspond to a dihedral, tetrahedral, or octahedral Galois representation so that $Sym^4\pi$ is cuspidal. Then the L-function*

$$L_4(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n^4)|^2}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$ and has an analytic continuation to Re $s > \frac{7}{8}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda_\pi(n^4)|^2 = c_4 x + O_\pi\big(x^{\frac{12}{13}}\big),$$

where $c_4 = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L_4(s)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that $\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2(\pi_2)$ is cuspidal. Then the L-function*

$$L_{1,2}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2 |\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)|^2}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$ and has an analytic continuation to Re $s > \frac{21}{32}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2 |\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)|^2 = c_{1,2} x + O_{\pi_1,\pi_2}\big(x^{\frac{35}{37}}\big), \tag{1.6}$$

where $c_{1,2} = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L_{1,2}(s)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$ not associated to characters from a quadratic field. Suppose that π_1 and π_2 are not twisted equivalent by any grössencharacter so that $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal. Then the L-function*

$$L_{1,1}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2 |\lambda_{\pi_2}(n)|^2}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$ and has an analytic continuation to Re $s > \frac{1}{2}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2 |\lambda_{\pi_2}(n)|^2 = c_{1,1} x + O_{\pi_1,\pi_2}\big(x^{\frac{15}{17}}\big), \tag{1.7}$$

where $c_{1,1} = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L_{1,1}(s)$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that π_1 and π_2 are not twisted equivalent by any grössencharacter and that $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ is cuspidal. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{abcd \leq x} |\lambda_{\pi_1}(a^2)|^2 \lambda_{\pi_1}(b^2) \overline{\lambda}_{\pi_2}(b^2) \lambda_{\pi_2}(c^2) \overline{\lambda}_{\pi_1}(c^2) |\lambda_{\pi_2}(d^2)|^2 \\ = \;& x(c_1 \log x + c_0) + O_{\pi_1,\pi_2}(x^{\frac{35}{37}} \log x) \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

for some constants c_1 and c_0.

Theorem 1.7. *Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$, and χ a nontrivial Dirichlet character modulo prime ℓ. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{a_{ij}\geq 1 \\ \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} a_{ij}\leq x}} \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} |\lambda_\pi(a_{ij})|^2\chi^j(a_{ij}) = xP_{\ell-1}(\log x) + O_{\pi,\chi}\Big(x^{\frac{4\ell^2-1}{4\ell^2+1}}\log^{\ell-1} x\Big), \tag{1.9}$$

where $P_{\ell-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell-1$.

We remark that Theorems 1.1-1.3 are a generalization of Theorem 1.1 in Lao and Sankaranarayanan [17] [18] where the same asymptotics (with the same error terms) were proved assuming π corresponds to a self-dual holomorphic cusp form of even integral weight on the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 in [18], however, should not have used a subconvexity bound for $L(s, Sym^2 f)$ proved by Li [21]. Using a subconvexity bound for $\zeta(s)$ which was recently improved by Bourgain [3] we can correct the proof and obtain a better result.

Theorem 1.8. *Let f be a self-dual holomorphic cusp form for a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\lambda_f^2(n^2) = c_2 x + O_f\big(x^{\frac{566}{737}}\big). \tag{1.10}$$

In the next section we will outline the main techniques which we will use.

2. Some properties of L-functions

We need a classical lemma by Landau [15] (cf. Ram Murty [24]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $a_n \geq 0$ and set*

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

Suppose $f(s)$ is convergent in some half-plane and that it has analytic continuation, except for a pole at $s=1$ of order k, to the entire complex plane and it satisfies a functional equation

$$c^s\Delta(s)f(s) = c^{1-s}\Delta(1-s)f(1-s),$$

where c is a certain positive constant and

$$\Delta(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\Gamma(\alpha_i s+\beta_i)(\alpha_i > 0).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n\leq x} a_n = xP_{k-1}(\log x) + O\Big(x^{\frac{2A-1}{2A+1}}\log^{k-1} x\Big),$$

where $A = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_i$, and $P_{k-1}(y)$ is a polynomial in y of degree $k-1$.

Now we summarize some basic properties of automorphic L-functions. Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$, with Fourier coefficients $\lambda_\pi(n)$ normalized by

$\lambda_\pi(1) = 1$. For simplification, we write $\alpha_\pi(p) = \alpha_p$ and $\beta_\pi(p) = \beta_p$. By (1.1), we have

$$\lambda_\pi(p^k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_p^{k-i}\beta_p^i. \tag{2.1}$$

Let S be a finite set of primes containing all p at which π_p is ramified. Then the m^{th}-symmetric power L-function attached to π

$$L(s, Sym^m\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{Sym^m\pi}(n)}{n^s}$$

has an Euler product outside S

$$L^S(s, Sym^m\pi) = \prod_{p\notin S}\prod_{i=0}^{m}(1 - \alpha_p^{m-i}\beta_p^i p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for Re s > 1.

Similarly the Rankin-Selberg L-function of $Sym^m\pi$ times its contragredient

$$L(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{Sym^m\pi\times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(n)}{n^s}$$

has an Euler product outside S

$$L^S(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}) = \prod_{p\notin S}\prod_{i=0}^{m}\prod_{j=0}^{m}(1 - \alpha_p^{m-i}\beta_p^i\bar{\alpha}_p^{m-j}\bar{\beta}_p^j p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for Re $s > 1$. For $p \notin S$ its parameters are

$$|\alpha_p|^{2m},\ |\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_p,\ |\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\bar{\alpha}_p\beta_p,\ |\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2,\ |\alpha_p|^{2m-4},\ |\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2,\ \ldots,$$
$$|\beta_p|^{2m-4}\beta_p^2\bar{\alpha}_p^2,\ |\beta_p|^{2m-4},\ |\beta_p|^{2m-4}\bar{\beta}_p^2\alpha_p^2,\ |\beta_p|^{2m-2}\beta_p\bar{\alpha}_p,\ |\beta_p|^{2m-2}\bar{\beta}_p\alpha_p,\ |\beta_p|^{2m}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & L^S(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}) \\ = & \prod_{p\notin S}\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m}}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_p}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\bar{\alpha}_p\beta_p}{p^s}\Big)^{-1} \\ & \times\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have for $p \notin S$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{Sym^m\pi\times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j) \\ = & |\alpha_p|^{2mj} + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-2}\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_p + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-2}\bar{\alpha}_p\beta_p \\ & +2|\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2 + 2|\alpha_p|^{2mj-4} + 2|\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2 + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for $j \geq 1$.

We note that for $m = 2, 3, 4$, the Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi})$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$, when $Sym^m\pi$ is cuspidal. Using the convexity bound for $L(s, Sym^4\pi \times Sym^4\widetilde{\pi})$ Li and Young [22] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$ such that $Sym^4\pi$ is cuspidal. Then*

$$\prod_p \Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^8 + |\beta_p|^8}{p^\sigma}\Big)$$

converges for $\sigma > 1$.

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1-1.3.

Define a Dirichlet series

$$L_m(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(n^m)|^2}{n^s} = \prod_p \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_\pi(p^{mj})|^2}{p^{js}}\Big) \tag{3.1}$$

for Re s sufficiently large. Since $L_m(s)$ is not known to be automorphic, we want to factor a Rankin-Selberg L-function out of $L_m(s)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let π be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$ such that $Sym^m\pi$ is cuspidal. Then we have for $m = 2, 3, 4$,*

$$L_m(s) = L(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi})U_m(s),$$

where $U_m(s)$ as an Euler product is absolutely convergent and hence nonzero for Re $s > \frac{1}{2}$ for $m = 2$, Re $s > \frac{23}{32}$ for $m = 3$, Re $s > \frac{7}{8}$ for $m = 4$.

Proof. First we factor $L_m(s)$ as in (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} L_m(s) &= \prod_p \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j)}{p^{js}} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_j(\alpha_p, \beta_p)}{p^{js}}\Big) \\ &= \prod_p \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j)}{p^{js}}\Big) \prod_p \Big(1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j(\alpha_p, \beta_p)p^{-js}}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j)p^{-js}}\Big) \\ &= L(s, Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi})U_m(s) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

by denoting the last product in (3.2) by $U_m(s)$. Here

$$P_j(\alpha_p, \beta_p) = \lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j) - |\lambda_\pi(p^{mj})|^2$$

for $j \geq 1$.

By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_\pi(p^{mj})|^2 &= \Big|\sum_{i=0}^{mj} \alpha_p^{mj-i}\beta_p^i\Big|^2 \\ &= |\alpha_p|^{2mj} + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-2}\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_p + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-2}\bar{\alpha}_p\beta_p \\ &\quad + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2 + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4} + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2 + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for $j \geq 1$. Comparing (2.2) and (3.3) we get for $p \notin S$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\alpha_p, \beta_p) &= 0, \\ P_j(\alpha_p, \beta_p) &= |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2 + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4} + |\alpha_p|^{2mj-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2 + \cdots \\ &\quad + |\beta_p|^{2mj-4}\beta_p^2\bar{\alpha}_p^2 + |\beta_p|^{2mj-4} + |\beta_p|^{2mj-4}\bar{\beta}_p^2\alpha_p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us turn to the series in the denominator in (3.2) for $p \notin S$. By (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j)}{p^{js}}\Big)^{-1} \\ = \ &\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m}}{p^s}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_p}{p^s}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-2}\bar{\alpha}_p\beta_p}{p^s}\Big) \\ &\times \Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\alpha_p^2\bar{\beta}_p^2}{p^s}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}}{p^s}\Big)\Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2m-4}\bar{\alpha}_p^2\beta_p^2}{p^s}\Big)\cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $p \notin S$

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} P_j(\alpha_p, \beta_p)p^{-js}}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{Sym^m\pi \times Sym^m\widetilde{\pi}}(p^j)p^{-js}} \\ \ll \ &\frac{|\alpha_p|^{4m-4} + |\beta_p|^{4m-4}}{p^{2\sigma}} + \frac{|\alpha_p|^{6m-4} + |\beta_p|^{6m-4}}{p^{3\sigma}} + \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

First let us consider the case of $m = 2$. Then for $p \notin S$ (3.4) becomes

$$\ll \frac{|\alpha_p|^4 + |\beta_p|^4}{p^{2\sigma}} + \frac{|\alpha_p|^8 + |\beta_p|^8}{p^{3\sigma}} + \cdots$$

which converges when $\sigma > \frac{7}{16}$. Thus $U_2(s)$ in (3.2) is dominated by

$$\prod_{p \notin S}\Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^4 + |\beta_p|^4}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big). \tag{3.5}$$

By Cauchy's inequality, (3.5) is dominated in turn by

$$\prod_{p \notin S}\Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^8 + |\beta_p|^8)}{p^{4\sigma-1-\varepsilon}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p \notin S}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{p^{1+\varepsilon}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which converges when $4\sigma - 1 - \varepsilon > 1$, i.e., when $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$, by Lemma 2.2. Hence $U_2(s)$ is absolutely convergent and hence is nonzero for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$.

Then let us turn to the case of $m = 3$. Then for $p \notin S$ (3.4) becomes

$$\ll \frac{|\alpha_p|^8 + |\beta_p|^8}{p^{2\sigma}} + \frac{|\alpha_p|^{14} + |\beta_p|^{14}}{p^{3\sigma}} + \cdots$$

which converges when $\sigma > \frac{21}{32}$. Thus $U_3(s)$ in (3.2) is dominated by

$$\prod_{p \notin S}\Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^8 + |\beta_p|^8)}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)$$

which converges absolutely for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, $U_3(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma > \frac{21}{32}$.

Now let $m = 4$. Then for $p \notin S$ (3.4) is

$$\ll \frac{|\alpha_p|^{12} + |\beta_p|^{12}}{p^{2\sigma}} + \frac{|\alpha_p|^{20} + |\beta_p|^{20}}{p^{3\sigma}} + \cdots$$

which converges for $\sigma > \frac{7}{8}$. Thus $U_4(s)$ in (3.2) is dominated by

$$\prod_{p \notin S} \Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^{12} + |\beta_p|^{12})}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)$$

which in turn is dominated by

$$\prod_{p \notin S} \Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha|^8 + |\beta|^8}{p^{2\sigma - \frac{7}{16}}}\Big) \tag{3.6}$$

by (1.2). By Lemma 2.2 (3.6) converges absolutely for $2\sigma - \frac{7}{16} > 1$, i.e., for $\sigma > \frac{23}{32}$. Consequently, $U_4(s)$ converges absolutely for Re $s > \frac{7}{8}$. □

The L-function $L(s, Sym^m \pi \times Sym^m \widetilde{\pi})$ satisfies the conditions of Landau's Lemma 2.1 with $k = 1$ and $2A = (m+1)^2$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda_{Sym^m \pi \times Sym^m \widetilde{\pi}}(n) = d_m x + O\big(x^{1 - \frac{2}{(m+1)^2+1}}\big), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$d_m = \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1} L(s, Sym^m \pi \times Sym^m \widetilde{\pi}).$$

Expand

$$U_m(s) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_m(\ell)}{\ell^s}$$

which converges absolutely for Re $s > \frac{1}{2}$ for $m = 2$, Re $s > \frac{23}{32}$ for $m = 3$, and Re $s > \frac{7}{8}$ for $m = 4$ by Lemma 3.1. By (3.2)

$$|\lambda_\pi(n^m)|^2 = \sum_{n = k\ell} \lambda_{Sym^m \pi \times Sym^m \widetilde{\pi}}(k) u_m(\ell). \tag{3.8}$$

For $m = 2$, (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \le x} |\lambda_\pi(n^2)|^2 &= \sum_{\ell \le x} u_2(\ell) \sum_{k \le x/\ell} \lambda_{Sym^2 \pi \times Sym^2 \widetilde{\pi}}(k) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \le x} u_2(\ell) \Big\{ d_2 \frac{x}{\ell} + O\Big(\Big(\frac{x}{\ell}\Big)^{\frac{4}{5}}\Big)\Big\} \\ &= x d_2 \sum_{\ell \le x} \frac{u_2(\ell)}{\ell} + O\Big(x^{\frac{4}{5}} \sum_{\ell \le x} \frac{|u_2(\ell)|}{\ell^{\frac{4}{5}}}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

by (3.7). Using

$$\sum_{\ell>x}\frac{u_2(\ell)}{\ell}\ll x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon},\quad \sum_{\ell\leq x}\frac{u_2(\ell)}{\ell^{\frac{4}{5}}}\ll 1,$$

we get

$$\sum_{\ell\leq x}\frac{u_2(\ell)}{\ell}=U_2(1)+O\big(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\big). \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.9) and (3.10) we conclude

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\lambda^2(n^2)=c_2x+O\big(x^{\frac{4}{5}}\big),$$

where $c_2=d_2U_2(1)=\underset{s=1}{Res}\,L_2(s)$ or by a Tauberian argument.

The cases of $m=3,4$ are similar. □

4. Proof of Theorems 1.4-1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let π_1 and π_2 be unitary automorphic cuspidal representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}})$. Suppose that π_1 and π_2 are not twisted equivalent by a grössencharacter so that $\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2(\pi_2)$ is cuspidal. Denote by α_p and β_p the Langlands parameters of π_1 at p, and by γ_p and δ_p the Langlands parameters of π_2. Suppose without loss of generality that $|\alpha_p|\geq 1$ and $\gamma_p|\geq 1$. Let T be a finite set of primes containing all p at which either π_{1p} or π_{2p} is ramified. Then at $p\notin T$ $Sym^2\pi_2$ has parameters γ_p^2, 1 and δ_p^2, while $\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2$ has $\alpha_p\gamma_p^2$, α_p, $\alpha_p\delta_p^2$, $\beta_p\gamma_p^2$, β_p and $\beta_p\delta_p^2$. Thus for $p\notin T$

$$\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p)=|\alpha_p+\beta_p|^2|\gamma_p^2+1+\delta_p^2|^2=|\lambda_{\pi_1}(p)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p^2)|^2 \tag{4.1}$$

and both $\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j)$ and $|\lambda_{\pi_1}(p^j)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p^{2j})|^2$ for $j\geq 2$ are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&|\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{4j}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-4}|\gamma_p|^{4j}(\alpha_p^2+\bar{\alpha}_p^2)+|\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{4j-4}(\gamma_p^2+\bar{\gamma}_p^2)\\ +\quad &O\Big(|\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{4j-4}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-2}|\gamma_p|^{4j-2}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-4}|\gamma_p|^{4j}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Define

$$Q_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p)=\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j)-|\lambda_{\pi_1}(p^j)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p^{2j})|^2 \tag{4.3}$$

for $j\geq 1$. Then by (4.1) and (4.2) we have at $p\notin T$ that

$$\begin{aligned}Q_1(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p)&=0\\ Q_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p)&\ll|\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{4j-4}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-2}|\gamma_p|^{4j-2}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-4}|\gamma_p|^{4j}\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for $j\geq 2$. Consequently by (4.3), the L-function

$$L_{1,2}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)|^2}{n^s}=\prod_p\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(p^j)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p^{2j})|^2}{p^{js}},$$

for Re s sufficiently large, can be factored as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1,2}(s) &= \prod_p \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{(\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j)}{p^{js}} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p)}{p^{js}} \Big) \\ &= \prod_p \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{(\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j)}{p^{js}} \Big) \\ &\quad \times \prod_p \Big(1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p) p^{-js}}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{(\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j) p^{-js}} \Big) \\ &= L(s, (\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2) \times (\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)) V_{1,2}(s) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

by denoting the last product in (4.5) by $V_{1,2}(s)$. Note that by (4.4) the sum of Q_j in (4.5) is actually taken over $j \geq 2$ for $p \notin T$.

For $p \notin T$ by (4.4) the series

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{Q_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p)}{p^{js}} \tag{4.6}$$

is dominated by

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{4j-4} + |\alpha_p|^{2j-2}|\gamma_p|^{4j-2} + |\alpha_p|^{2j-4}|\gamma_p|^{4j}}{p^{j\sigma}}$$

which in turn is dominated by

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{j\sigma-(6j-4)\frac{7}{64}}}$$

by (1.2). Thus (4.6) converges absolutely when $\sigma > \frac{21}{32}$, and its sum is then

$$\ll \frac{|\alpha_p|^4|\gamma_p|^4 + |\alpha_p|^2|\gamma_p|^6 + |\gamma_p|^8}{p^{2\sigma}}.$$

Therefore when $\sigma > \frac{21}{32}$, $V_{1,2}(s)$ is dominated by

$$\prod_{p \notin T} \Big(1 + \frac{|\alpha_p|^4|\gamma_p|^4 + |\alpha_p|^2|\gamma_p|^6 + |\gamma_p|^8}{p^{2\sigma}} \Big). \tag{4.7}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Cauchy's inequality, (4.7) converges absolutely for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus $V_{1,2}(s)$ converges absolutely for Re $s > \frac{21}{32}$.

Applying Landau's Lemma 2.1 to $L(s, (\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2) \times (\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2))$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{(\pi_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1 \boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(n) = d_{1,2}x + O\big(x^{\frac{35}{37}}\big).$$

By the Dirichlet convolution on the right hand side of (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\le x}|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)|^2 &= \sum_{\ell\le x}u_{1,2}(\ell)\sum_{k\le x/\ell}\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes Sym^2\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(k)\\ &= \sum_{\ell\le x}u_{1,2}(\ell)\Big\{d_{1,2}\frac{x}{\ell}+O\Big(\Big(\frac{x}{\ell}\Big)^{\frac{35}{37}}\Big)\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (1.6). □

Proof of Theorem 1.5 is similar to that of Theorem 1.4 with the same notation. We have for $p\notin T$

$$\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p) = |\alpha_p+\beta_p|^2|\gamma_p+\delta_p|^2 = |\lambda_{\pi_1}(p)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p)|^2 \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R_j(\alpha_p,\beta_p,\gamma_p,\delta_p) &= \lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(p^j)-|\lambda_{\pi_1}(p^j)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(p^j)|^2\\ &\ll |\alpha_p|^{2j}|\gamma_p|^{2j-4}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-2}|\gamma_p|^{2j-2}+|\alpha_p|^{2j-4}|\gamma_p|^{2j}\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for $j\ge 2$. Consequently by (4.8) and (4.9), the L-function

$$L_{1,1}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n)|^2|\lambda_{\pi_2}(n)|^2}{n^s}=L(s,(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2))V_{1,1}(s) \tag{4.10}$$

with $V_{1,1}(s)$ convergent absolutely for Re $s>\frac{1}{2}$.

Applying Landau's Lemma 2.1 to $L(s,(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2))$, we have

$$\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_{(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2)}(n)=d_{1,1}x+O\big(x^{\frac{15}{17}}\big).$$

By the Dirichlet convolution on the right hand side of (4.10) we have (1.7). □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. With π_1 and π_2 as above so that $\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2$ is cuspidal, its exterior square representation $\wedge^2(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)$ has Langlands parameters α_p^2, β_p^2, γ_p^2, δ_p^2 and 1×2. Thus

$$\wedge^2(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\cong Ind(Sym^2\pi_1\otimes Sym^2\pi_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&L(s,\wedge^2(\pi_1\boxtimes\pi_2)\times\wedge^2(\widetilde{\pi}_1\boxtimes\widetilde{\pi}_2))\\ =\;& L(s,Sym^2\pi_1\times Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_1)L(s,Sym^2\pi_1\times Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2)\\ &\times L(s,Sym^2\pi_2\times Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_1)L(s,Sym^2\pi_2\times Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since π_1 and π_2 are not twisted equivalent by any grössencharacter, (4.11) has a double pole at $s=1$ and is otherwise analytic. The L-function we are interested in is

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\wedge^2}(s) &= L_{\pi_1,\widetilde{\pi}_1}(s)L_{\pi_1,\widetilde{\pi}_2}(s)L_{\pi_2,\widetilde{\pi}_1}(s)L_{\pi_2,\widetilde{\pi}_2}(s)\\ &= \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_{\pi_1}(n^2)|^2}{n^s}\Big)\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_{\pi_1}(n^2)\bar{\lambda}_{\pi_2}(n^2)}{n^s}\Big)\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)\bar{\lambda}_{\pi_1}(n^2)}{n^s}\Big)\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_{\pi_2}(n^2)|^2}{n^s}\Big)\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_{\wedge^2}(n)}{n^s}\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for Re s sufficiently large. Here

$$\lambda_{\wedge^2}(n) = \sum_{\substack{a,b,c,d\geq 1\\ abcd=n}} |\lambda_{\pi_1}(a^2)|^2 \lambda_{\pi_1}(b^2)\bar{\lambda}_{\pi_2}(b^2)\lambda_{\pi_2}(c^2)\bar{\lambda}_{\pi_1}(c^2)|\lambda_{\pi_2}(d^2)|^2.$$

By Lemma 3.1, the four L-functions in the product of $L_{\wedge^2}(s)$ in (4.12) can be factored as

$$L_{\pi_i,\pi_j}(s) = L(s, Sym^2\pi_i \times Sym^2\widetilde{\pi}_j)U_{i,j}(s) \tag{4.13}$$

for $i,j = 1,2$, where $U_{i,j}(s)$ as an Euler product converges absolutely for Re $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Multiplying (4.13) according to (4.12) we can express $L_{\wedge^2}(s)$ as the product of (4.11) and $\prod_{i,j=1}^{2} U_{i,j}(s)$. Then using Landau's Lemma 2.1 with $k = 2$ and $2A = 36$ and Dirichlet convolution we prove (1.8). □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. From (1.3) we can show that π and $\pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ are not twisted equivalent by any $|\det|^{it}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, if

$$\pi \cong \pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \otimes |\det|^{it},$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, then

$$\pi \cong \pi \otimes |\det|^{i\ell t},$$

which is impossible. Moreover,

$$\pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^j \ncong \pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^k \otimes |\det|^{it} \tag{4.14}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ when $0 \leq j < k < \ell$.

By (1.4) we have the Rankin-Selberg L-function

$$L(s, \Pi \times \widetilde{\Pi}) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} L(s, (\pi \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^j) \times (\widetilde{\pi} \otimes \bar{\eta}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^k)). \tag{4.15}$$

By (4.14) we can see on the right hand side of (4.15), each diagonal L-function ($j = k$) has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and each off-diagonal L-function ($j \neq k$) is entire. Consequently, $L(s, \Pi \times \widetilde{\Pi})$ has a pole of order ℓ at $s = 1$ and is analytic elsewhere. It also has a standard functional equation. Its complete L-function is bounded in vertical strips and is holomorphic except for poles at $s = 0, 1$ ([8], [9], [23]).

The L-function in (4.15) can be simplified to

$$L(s, \Pi \times \widetilde{\Pi}) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} L(s, \pi \times \widetilde{\pi} \otimes \eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}^j)^\ell. \tag{4.16}$$

Note this L-function has nonnegative coefficients

$$L(s, \Pi \times \widetilde{\Pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\Pi\times\widetilde{\Pi}}(n)}{n^s}, \quad \lambda_{\Pi\times\widetilde{\Pi}}(n) \geq 0.$$

It converges absolutely for Re $s > 1$. Its rank is $4\ell^2$ and hence the sum of coefficients of s in Γ functions in its Archimedean factor is $2\ell^2$. By Landau's Lemma 2.1,

$$\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_{\Pi\times\widetilde{\Pi}}(n) = xP_{\ell-1}(\log x) + O\Big(x^{\frac{4\ell^2-1}{4\ell^2+1}}\log^{\ell-1}x\Big), \tag{4.17}$$

where $P_{\ell-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell-1$.

By (4.16), we may express the Fourier coefficients $\lambda_{\Pi\times\widetilde{\Pi}}(n)$ in terms of $\lambda_\pi(n)$. By Goldfeld and Hundley [6], $\eta_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is an idelic lift of a nontrivial Dirichlet character χ mod ℓ. Therefore by (4.16)

$$\lambda_{\Pi\times\widetilde{\Pi}}(n) = \sum_{\substack{a_{ij}\ge 1\\ \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1}a_{ij}=n}}\prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1}|\lambda_\pi(a_{ij})|^2\chi^j(a_{ij}). \tag{4.18}$$

Then (1.9) follows from (4.17) and (4.18). □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

Let f be a self-dual holomorphic cusp form for a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. To improve the error term bound in (1.5), we factor (3.2) further for $m = 2$:

$$L_2(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, Sym^2 f)L(s, Sym^4 f)U_2(s) \tag{5.1}$$

using the local parameters

$$\alpha_p^4,\ \alpha_p^2\times 2,\ 1\times 3,\ \beta_p^2\times 2,\ \beta_p^4$$

of the self-dual $L(s, Sym^2 f\times Sym^2 f)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [18], we use Perron's formula and shift the contour from $\int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT}$ to $\int_{1/2+\varepsilon-iT}^{1/2+\varepsilon+iT}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_f^2(n^2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Big\{\int_{\frac12+\varepsilon-iT}^{\frac12+\varepsilon+\varepsilon+iT} + \int_{\frac12+\varepsilon+iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT} + \int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{\frac12+\varepsilon+\varepsilon-iT}\Big\}L_2(s)\frac{x^s}{s}ds\\ &\quad + \operatorname*{Res}_{s=1}\Big(\frac{L_2(s)x^s}{s}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\Big)\\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + c_2x + O\Big(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Since $U_2(s)$ converges absolutely for Re $s > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\ll x^{\frac12+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac12+\varepsilon}\int_1^T\Big|L\Big(\frac12+\varepsilon+it, Sym^2 f\times Sym^2 f\Big)U_2\Big(\frac12+\varepsilon+it\Big)\Big|\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\ll x^{\frac12+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac12+\varepsilon}\int_1^T\Big|L\Big(\frac12+\varepsilon+it, Sym^2 f\times Sym^2 f\Big)\Big|\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

By a dyadic subdivision we have

$$I_1 \ll x^{\frac12+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac12+\varepsilon}(\log T)\max_{T_1\le T}\Big\{\frac{1}{T_1}\int_{\frac{T_1}{2}}^{T_1}\Big|L\Big(\frac12+\varepsilon+it, Sym^2 f\times Sym^2 f\Big)\Big|dt\Big\}.$$

By (5.1) and Cauchy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\log T)\max_{T_1\le T}\frac{1}{T_1}\Big(\max_{\frac{T_1}{2}\le t\le T_1}\Big|\zeta\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it\Big)\Big|\Big) \\ &\quad\times\Big(\int_{\frac{T_1}{2}}^{T_1}\Big|L\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it, Sym^2 f\Big)\Big|^2dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{\frac{T_1}{2}}^{T_1}\Big|L\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it, Sym^4 f\Big)\Big|^2dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.3)\end{aligned}$$

Now we need Lemma 2.6 in [18]

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T}\Big|L\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it, Sym^m f\Big)\Big|^2dt \ll_{f,\varepsilon} T^{\frac{m+1}{2}+\varepsilon} \quad (5.4)$$

and a subconvexity bound for $\zeta(s)$ by Bourgain [3]

$$\zeta\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it\Big) \ll (1+|t|)^{\frac{53}{342}+\varepsilon}. \quad (5.5)$$

Applying (5.4) and (5.5) to (5.3) we have

$$I_1 \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}T^{-1+\frac{53}{342}+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{5}{4}+\varepsilon} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}T^{\frac{395}{342}+\varepsilon}. \quad (5.6)$$

For the integrals I_2 and I_3 over the horizontal segments, we use (5.5) and the convexity bounds for $L(s, Sym^2 f)$ and $L(s, Sym^4 f)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2+I_3 &\ll \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\le\sigma\le 1+\varepsilon} x^\sigma T^{(\frac{53}{171}+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{5}{2})(1-\sigma)-1+\varepsilon} \\ &\ll \Big(\frac{x}{T^{\frac{737}{171}}}\Big)^{1+\varepsilon}T^{\frac{737}{171}-1+\varepsilon} + \Big(\frac{x}{T^{\frac{737}{171}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}T^{\frac{737}{171}-1+\varepsilon} \\ &\ll \frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}T^{\frac{395}{342}+\varepsilon}. \quad (5.7)\end{aligned}$$

By (5.2), (5.6) and (5.7) we get

$$\sum_{n\le x}\lambda_f^2(n^2) = c_2 x + O\Big(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\Big) + O\Big(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}T^{\frac{395}{342}+\varepsilon}\Big). \quad (5.8)$$

Taking $T = x^{\frac{171}{737}}$ in (5.8), we finally get (1.10). □

Acknowledgments. This work was completed when the first author visited the University of Iowa, supported by the International Coorporation Program sponsored by the Shandong Provincial Education Department.

References

[1] J. Arthur and L. Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Math. Studies 120, Princeton Univ. Press, 1980, Princeton.

[2] M. Asgari and A. Raghuram, A cuspidality criterion for the exterior square transfer of cusp forms on $GL(4)$, in: *On certain L-functions. Papers from the conference in honor of Freydoon Shahidi held at Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, July 23-27, 2007*, edited by J. Arthur, J.W. Cogdell, S. Gelbart, D. Goldberg, D. Ramakrishnan and J.-K. Yu, Clay Math. Proc. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011, Providence, pp. 33-53.

[3] J. Bourgain, Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, arXiv:1408.5794, 2014.

[4] P. Deligne, La Conjecture de Weil, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Pul. Math.* **43** (1974), 29-39.

[5] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3), *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **11** (1978), 471-552.

[6] D. Goldfeld and J. Hundley, *Automorphic representations and L-functions for the general linear group*, Vol.1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2011, Cambridge.

[7] G. Henniart, Sur la fonctorialité, pour $GL(4)$, donnée par le carré extérieur, *Moscow Math. J.* **9**(1) (2009), 33-45.

[8] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I, *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), 499-558.

[9] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions: Archimedean theory, in: *Festschrift in Honor of I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the Occasion of his Sixtieth Birthday*, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. 2, Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990, 125-208.

[10] H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and symmetric fourth of GL_2, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 139-183.

[11] H. Kim, Automorphic L-functions, in: *Lectures on automorphic L-functions*, Fields Institute Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. 2004, Providence, pp.97-201.

[12] H. Kim and P. Sarnak, Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures, appendix to [10].

[13] H. Kim and F. Shahidi, Functorial products for $GL_2 \times GL_3$ and functorial symmetric cube for GL_2, (with an appendix by C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart), *Ann. Math.* **155** (2002), 837-893.

[14] H. Kim and F. Shahidi, Cuspidality of symmetric power with applications, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 177-197.

[15] E. Landau, Über die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in gewisser Bereichen (Zweite Abhandlung), *Nachrichten Ges. d. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse* (1915), 209-243.

[16] R. Langlands, *Base change for* $GL(2)$, Annals of Math. Studies 96, Princeton Univ. Press, 1980, Princeton.

[17] H.X. Lao and A. Sankaranarayanan, The average behavior of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms over sparse sequences, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 2557-2565.

[18] H.X. Lao and A. Sankaranarayanan, The distribution of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms over sparse sequences, *Acta Arith.* **163**(2) (2014), 101-109.

[19] Y.-K. Lau and G.S. Lü, Sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)*, **62** (2011), 687-716.

[20] Y.-K. Lau, G.S. Lü and J. Wu, Integral power sums of Hecke eigenvalues, *Acta Arith.* **150**(2) (2011), 193-207.

[21] X.Q. Li, Bounds for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ L-functions and $GL(3)$ L-functions, *Ann. Math.*, **173** (2011), 301-336.

[22] X.Q. Li and M. Young, Additive twists of Fourier coefficients of symmetric-square lifts, *J. Number Theory*, **132** (2012) 1626-1640.

[23] C. Moeglin and J.L. Waldspurger, le Spectre Résiduel de $GL(n)$, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **22**(4) (1989), 605-674.

[24] M. Ram Murty, On the estimation of eigenvalues of Hecke operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **15** (1985) 521-534.

[25] D. Ramakrishnan, Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L-series, and multiplicity one for $SL(2)$, *Ann. Math.* **152** (2000), 45-111.

[26] D. Ramakrishnan and S. Wang, A cuspidality criterion for the functorial product on $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ with a cohomological application, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004**(27) (2004), 1355-1394.

[27] R.A. Rankin, Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithemtical functions II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of the integral modular forms, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **35** (1939), 357-372.

[28] A. Selberg, Bemerkungen über eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist, *Arch. Math. Naturvid.* **43** (1940), 47-50.